UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,457	06/14/2001	Christian Caspersen	0459-0577P	1421
	7590 07/30/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	C.I. II. 220.40 07.47	LEE, SHUN K		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·	2884		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary		Application	on No. Applicant(s)				
		09/806,45	57	CASPERSEN, CHRISTIAN			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Shun Lee		2884			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		,					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>01 June 200</i> 7.					
• ===	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
				secution as to the	e merits is		
٠,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,9,11,12,15,16,23-25,27,29,36,37,40,44,45 and 47-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1,7,9,11,12,15,16,23-25,27,29,36,37,40,44,45 and 47-51 is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
•	•		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Assa - Pr	Ma)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of References Cited (P10-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	•	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/806,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1 June 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It should be noted that a claim may be rendered indefinite by reference to an object that is variable (MPEP § 2173.05(b)). Thus a limitation in a claim to an apparatus that recited "at least one dimension of the aperture, as projected on the specimen, is between 0.75 and 2 times the dimensions of objects to be detected" is indefinite because the relationship of parts was not based on any known standard for sizing an aperture, but on the dimensions of unspecified objects. Thus an apparatus would satisfy "at least one dimension of the aperture, as projected on the specimen, is between 0.75 and 2 times the dimensions of objects to be detected" when used for specimen A having object sizes that are 1/2 to 4/3 times an aperture

dimension. However, the <u>same</u> apparatus would <u>not</u> satisfy "at least one dimension of the aperture, as projected on the specimen, is between 0.75 and 2 times the dimensions of objects to be detected" when used for specimen B having object sizes that are, e.g., ¼ times an aperture dimension. Therefore, claim 25 fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 7, 9, 11, 12, 23-25, 27, 29, 36, 37, 40, 45, and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Malin *et al.* (US 5,377,002).

The claim limitation "scanning means for scanning the specimen in relation to the detector" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (e.g., "The scanning means may comprise a DC motor and a spindle rigidly connected to the DC motor" in lines 32-33 on pg. 4 and

Art Unit: 2884

"The scanning means may also comprise deflecting means that may comprise a servo motor or a stepper motor connected to the member holding the specimen and thereby adapted to scan the first light beam along a radius of the circular movement of the disc holding the specimen" in lines 2-5 on pg. 5) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

The claim limitation "means for rotating the member" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (e.g., "The scanning means may comprise a DC motor and a spindle rigidly connected to the DC motor" in lines 32-33 on pg. 4) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

The claim limitation "means for displacing the member along a radius of the rotation of the member" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (*e.g.*, "The scanning means may also comprise deflecting means that may comprise a servo motor or a stepper motor connected to the member holding the specimen and thereby adapted to scan the first light beam along a radius of the circular movement of the disc holding the specimen" in lines 2-5 on pg. 5) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

The claim limitation "scanning control means for controlling the scanning means for scanning the specimen" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (*e.g.*, "The scanning control means may comprise servo means adapted control the rpm of the disc, to produce a substantially constant linear velocity of the laser spot on the disc surface, a principle well known from CD players" in lines 27-29 on pg. 16) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

The claim limitation "storage means for storing detector signals relating to the marked objects provided by the detector and corresponding position signals provided by the scanning control means" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (*e.g.*, "The storage means may comprise magnetic, optic or electric storage media, such as hard disc drives, DAT-tapes, floppy discs, CD-ROM discs, EEPROMs, etc. which may be utilised for non-volatile storage of the coherent data sets obtained from the scanning of the specimen(s). The storage means may also comprise intermediate volatile storage means, preferably RAM, to store coherent data sets during the scanning" in lines 9-14 on pg. 14) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

The claim limitation "means for retrieving the position signals stored in the storage means" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (*e.g.*, "The storage means may be located in a personal computer (PC), which is operationally connected with the apparatus of the present invention" in lines 8-9 on pg. 14) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

In regard to claims **1**, **40**, and **45**, Malin *et al.* disclose (Fig. 1) an apparatus for identifying a position of objects having unknown positions and detecting a property of the objects contained in a specimen (11), the apparatus comprising:

- (a) a frame (28.1);
- (b) a member (13) positioned on the frame (28.1) and having a surface that is adapted to receive and hold the specimen (11);

Application/Control Number: 09/806,457

Art Unit: 2884

(c) at least a first light source (2) for emitting at least a first light beam (1) towards the specimen (11) held by the member (13), wherein the first light beam (1) is adapted to provide a light spot (12) having a diameter on the specimen (11);

Page 6

- (d) at least a detector (19) for detecting a light (14, 15) emitted from the objects upon interaction with the first light beam (1), the first light source (2) and the detector (19) being arranged so that a part of a light beam path from the first light source
 (2) to the specimen (11) is co-axial (along optical axis 34) with a part of the light (14, 15) emitted from the objects;
- (e) scanning means (27.1, 27, 27.2, 28.2, 28) for scanning the entire surface of the member (13) in relation to the detector (19) along a non-linear curve (e.g., "... the whole of the surface is scanned along a spiral path ... "; column 10, lines 26-29), wherein the scanning means (27.1, 27, 27.2, 28.2, 28) comprises means (shaft 27.1 of a rotary motor 27) for rotating the member (13) and means (linear stage 27.2 on a spindle 28.2 of translation motor 28) for displacing the member (13) along a radius of the rotation of the member (13), so as to identify the position of the objects in the entire specimen (11) and detect the property of the objects, the means (27.1, 27) for rotating and the means (27.2, 28.2, 28) for displacing being directly connected to the member (13), the member (13) being rotatable and displaceable along a radius of the rotation of the member (13);
- (f) scanning control means (computer unit 22, interface 26, rotation-pulse emitter 29, translation-pulse emitter 30) for controlling the scanning means (27.1, 27, 27.2,

Application/Control Number: 09/806,457

Art Unit: 2884

28.2, 28) for scanning the specimen along the non-linear curve (column 10, lines 26-29);

Page 7

- (g) storage means (computer unit 22, mass-storage system 23) for storing detector signals (column 9, lines 35-40) relating to the objects provided by the detector (19) and corresponding position signals (column 9, lines 41-45) provided by the scanning control means (22, 26, 29, 30);
- (h) means (computer unit 22) for retrieving the position signals stored in the storage means (22, 23), and
- (i) a microscope (e.g., " ... scanning laser-beam microscope ... "; column 5, lines 17-23) for viewing images of the objects, wherein the scanning control means uses the retrieved position signals to place the microscope at the position of the objects to allow performing a detailed examination of the objects (column 12, lines 61-68).

The apparatus of Malin *et al.* lacks an explicit description that the diameter is between 20-150 μm. However, Malin *et al.* also disclose (column 12, lines 48-54) that the "... unit of measurement used for LPDs is the μmLSE (=micron latex-sphere equivalent), where 1 μmLSE is the diffused-light amplitude produced by a latex sphere of 1 μm diameter ..." and (column 8, lines 30-33) that "... LPDs are relatively small in relation to the light spot ...". That is the diameter of the light spot is larger than LPDs having diameters in units of micrometers (*e.g.*, 1 μm). Thus implying a light spot diameter of >1 μm (*e.g.*, 50 μm). Alternatively it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention select a light spot diameter (*e.g.*, 50 μm) in the apparatus of Reber *et al.*, in order to obtain a desired resolution as taught by Reber *et al.* ("In scanning, the astigmatic light beam produced by

the switchable lens system covers a larger area and thus permits a larger feed offset from one revolution to the next. On the other hand, the dot-shaped light beam is used with a small feed offset and makes possible high local resolution"; column 2, lines 57-62; column 5, lines 12-23).

It should be noted that a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" (*Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987)) if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim (MPEP § 2114). Thus, "the marked objects are marked with a fluorescent stain" was not given any patentable weight since the object marked a fluorescent stain (*e.g.*, a fluorescein stain) is not a component of the claimed apparatus and does not appear to impose any additional structural limitations on the claimed apparatus. Therefore, applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claims 40 and 45 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

In regard to claim **7** which is dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Fig. 1) that the member (13) is positioned for rotation about an axis on the frame (28.1) and wherein the means (27.1, 27) for rotating the member (13) rotates the member (13) about the axis.

In regard to claim **9** which is dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Fig. 1) that the scanning control means (22, 26, 29, 30) are adapted to control the scanning

Application/Control Number: 09/806,457

Art Unit: 2884

means (27.1, 27, 27.2, 28.2, 28) in such a way that the non-linear curve is a substantially circular curve (e.g., " ... the whole of the surface is scanned along a spiral path ... "; column 10, lines 26-29).

The claim limitation "means for sampling and digitising the detector signals and the position signals" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (*e.g.*, "Each of these digitised detector and position signals is, preferably, represented by a series of digital samples generated by one or several A/D-converters" in lines 13-15 on pg. 9) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

In regard to claim **11** which is dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Figs. 1 and 5a) means (analog-digital converter 78, rotation-pulse emitter 29, translation-pulse emitter 30) for sampling and digitizing the detector signals and the position signals.

The claim limitation "signal processing means operatively connected to the detector to detect a presence of an object based on the detector signals" is being treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and has been construed to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification (e.g., "Signal processing means may subsequently retrieve and use these corresponding coherent data sets to enhance the discrimination between signals originating from target objects and false positive signals" in lines 7-9 on pg. 11 and "The storage means may be located in a personal computer (PC), which is operationally connected with the apparatus of the present invention" in lines 8-9 on pg. 14) and equivalents thereof (MPEP § 2181).

In regard to claim **12** which is dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Fig. 1) signal processing means (analyzer electronics 21, computer unit 22) operatively connected to the detector (19) to detect a presence of an object based on the detector signals.

In regard to claims **23** and **25** (in so far as understood) which are dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Fig. 1) that a mask (16) is inserted in the optical path between the specimen (11) and the detector (19), wherein the mask (16) comprises at least one transparent aperture (*e.g.*, a slit; column 7, lines 25-29).

In regard to claim **24** which is dependent on claim 23, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Fig. 1) that aperture is a substantially rectangular shape (*e.g.*, a slit; column 7, lines 25-29).

In regard to claim **27** which is dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose (Fig. 1) that the first light source (2) is a coherent light source (*e.g.*, 488 nm laser; column 4, lines 8-12).

In regard to claim **48** which is dependent on claim 1, Malin *et al.* also disclose that the position signals of the marked objects are angular and radial coordinates (*i.e.*, "... polar coordinates ..."; column 10, lines 11-13).

In regard to claims 29, 36, 47, and 49, the cited prior art is applied as in claims 1 and 48 above.

In regard to claim **37** which is dependent on claim 36, the cited prior art is applied as in claim 11 above.

7. Claims 15, 16, 50, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malin *et al.* (US 5,377,002) in view of Worster *et al.* (US 5,479,252).

In regard to claims **15** and **16** (which are dependent on claim 1) and claims **50** and **51** (which are dependent on claim 29), the apparatus and method of Malin *et al.* lacks an explicit description that the specimen has an area larger than 500 mm² (*e.g.*, larger than 8000 mm²). However, Malin *et al.* also disclose (column 1, lines 18-22) that the specimen is, *e.g.*, a substrate for optical applications or a wafer. Since Reber *et al.* do not disclose and/or require a specific specimen, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would reasonably interpret the unspecified specimen of Reber *et al.* as any one of the known conventional specimens which would not require further description. Further, Worster *et al.* teach (column 4, lines 58-60) that wafer diameters range from 75 mm to 200 mm. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a known conventional specimen (*e.g.*, 200 mm diameter wafer) as the unspecified specimen in the apparatus and method of Malin *et al.*

8. Claim 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malin *et al.* (US 5,377,002) in view of Raz *et al.* (US 6,049,421).

In regard to claim **44** which is dependent on claim 1, the apparatus of Malin *et al.* lacks an explicit description that the detector comprises a CCD device. Since Malin *et al.* do not disclose and/or require a specific detector, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would reasonably interpret the unspecified detector of Malin *et al.* as any one of the known conventional detectors that would not require

Art Unit: 2884

further description. Further, Raz et al. teach (column 2, lines 26-37) to provide a CCD device for scanning a substrate in order to obtain reasonable speed resolution.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a known conventional detector (*e.g.*, a CCD) as the unspecified detector in the apparatus of Malin *et al.*

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 3,696,385 (Burns) discloses that an encoder is essentially an analog to digital converter to change the shaft angle of a drive shaft of a motor to digital logic.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shun Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2884

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SL

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER